## AS POWER-SUPPLY SIZE AND PERFORMANCE DEMANDS

INCREASE, SELECTING THE RIGHT SWITCHING DEVICES
BECOMES MORE COMPLEX. A STRAIGHTFORWARD METHOD SIMPLIFIES THE SELECTION PROCESS, SPEEDS YOUR DEVELOPMENT, AND HELPS YOU TO OPTIMIZE YOUR DESIGN.

# A simple guide to selecting power MOSFETs 

Given the wide selection of MOSFETs available now and the shrinking real estate allotted for motherboard power supplies, it's increasingly important to use a dependable, consistent method for selecting the right MOSFET. Such a method can speed development cycles while optimizing applica-tion-specific designs.

PC-motherboard and power-supply designers, who frequently go through the power-MOSFET selection process, can benefit from an automated process that uses a spreadsheet. This common tool can significantly reduce the selection time while affording the designer an intimate, step-by-step look at the selection process. Compared with algorithmic programming languages, implementing a selection method in a spreadsheet provides for greater interaction, easy fine-tuning, and simple provisions for building and maintaining a parts database.

To limit the scope of this discussion, consider a MOSFET-selection method for the synchronous buck-converter topology, which suits dc/dc converters for PC motherboards and telecommunications applications. The search for the right MOSFET for a specific application involves minimizing the losses and understanding of how these losses are dependent on the switching frequency, current, duty cycle, and the switching rise and fall times. This information guides the selection tool development.

Once you choose a topology, you can base the MOSFET selection on its position in the circuit and a few device parameters, such as breakdown voltage, current-carrying capability, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON}}$, and the $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON}}$ temperature coefficient. The goal is to minimize conduction and switching and to choose a device with adequate thermal properties.

Examining a typical buck converter reveals how device requirements vary significantly depending on circuit position (Figure 1). This circuit takes power from a 12 V source and provides an output voltage
of 1.5 V , resulting in a duty cycle D for $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ of $1.5 / 12=0.125$ and $1-\mathrm{D}$ for the synchronous rectifier $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$. Switching losses dominate the power dissipation in $Q_{1}$, owing to its relatively small duty cycle compared with that of $\mathrm{Q}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{Q}_{1}$ 's voltage excursion is the source voltage. Although $Q_{2}$ must also stand off the full supply voltage, at the beginning of its switching interval, the body diode clamps the filter inductor to ground, so $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ 's excursion is limited to a diode drop. The small duty cycle and large excursion put demands on $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ 's rise- and fall-time performance. Conduction losses, which are a function of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON}}$, dominate $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ 's power dissipation. Minimizing this ohmic term requires a device with the lowest on-resistance to handle the load current based on cost expectations and efficiency requirements.


The buck-converter topology uses two n-channel MOSFETs. $\mathbf{Q}_{1}$ is the switching or control MOSFET, and $\mathbf{Q}_{2}$ is the synchronous rectifier. L and C comprise the output filter, and $\mathbf{R}_{L}$ is the load resistance.

The spreadsheet includes calculations of static and dynamic losses, the latter of which is the sum of switching and gatedrive losses. To facilitate the dynamicloss calculations, you need to refer to representative waveforms (Figure 2). Prior to switching, the MOSFET's power dissipation derives from conduction losses. The area under the blue triangle depicts the dynamic loss during the switching transition time, which occurs twice per cycle. The total dynamic loss, therefore, is proportional to the switching frequency.

The conduction loss is proportional to the MOS device's on-state channel resistance:

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D} 1}^{2} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON} 1} \mathrm{D},
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D} 2}^{2} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON} 2}(1-\mathrm{D}),
$$

where $I_{D}$ is the drain current, $R_{\text {ON }}$ is the channel resistance at the manufacturer's specified nominal ambient temperature, and $D$ is the duty cycle of $Q_{1}$.

Charging and discharging the gate capacitance contributes to the switching losses. This loss also depends on the switching frequency:

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{G}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{G}}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{GS}} \mathrm{f}
$$

where $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{G}}$ is the gate-drive voltage, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{GS}}$ is the gate-source capacitance, and f is the switching frequency.

## THE UPS AND DOWNS OF MOSFET SELECTION

The requirements for the control switch, $Q_{1}$, and the synchronous rectifier, $Q_{2}$, differ. Current, voltage, and pow-er-dissipation ratings are key parameters that determine the suitability of a device


You can express the thermal resistance of a 2oz copper pc-board trace in degrees Celsius per watt, as a function of the trace area. This thickness of copper is typical of most pc boards.


Switching $Q_{1}$ results in characteristic waveforms for $I_{D}$ (green) and $V_{D S}($ red). The product of these two waveforms gives the instantaneous power dissipation, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}$ (blue).
for either position. $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ 's power dissipation is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{RMS}}^{2} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON} 1} \mathrm{D}+ \\
& \mathrm{f}\left[\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{G} 1} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{G} 1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{RMS}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{S}}\right] . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

In Equation 1, the first term reflects the conduction loss, and the second term accounts for the dynamic and gate losses. $I_{\text {RMS }}$ is the drain current; $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON}}$ is the channel resistance; D is the duty cycle; f is the switching frequency; $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}$ and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}$ are the switching rise and fall times, respectively ; and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}$ is the input source voltage.

Similarly, $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ 's power dissipation is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{RMS}}^{2} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON} 2}(1-\mathrm{D})+ \\
& \mathrm{f}\left[\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{G} 2} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{G} 2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{RMS}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{D}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the previous case, the first term is the conduction loss, and the second term is the switching loss. Note that D is $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ 's duty cycle. The last term, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{D}}$, is the body-diode forward voltage. You can reduce this loss and improve the circuit's switching dynamics by connecting a Schottky diode across $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$.
With the power dissipation calculated for the two devices, you can calculate the temperature rise from the thermal resistances of the package and heat sink as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{R}_{\Theta} \mathrm{P} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ is the temperature rise over ambient in degrees Celsius, P is the device's total power dissipation, and $R_{\Theta}$ is the total thermal resistance taken as the sum of
the junction-to-case resistance of the FET's package and the heat-sink thermal resistance. There's also a small case-to-heat-sink term, which is often negligi-ble-particularly when you use modern thermal interface materials. The heat sink can be an explicit mechanical device or a pc-board trace with adequate surface area (Figure 3).
Although these equations are simple enough to allow a quick check of a given MOSFET's suitability in a specific application, the strong dependency of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON}}$ on the junction temperature somewhat complicates the calculation. A temperature rise of about $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ causes a $40 \%$ increase in the value of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON}}$. You need to include this behavior in the analysis of the conduction losses to calculate the actual temperature rise.

If you include the $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON}}$ 's temperature coefficient in Equation 1, you get:

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{RMS}}^{2} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON} 1}\left(1+\delta \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}\right),
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{RMS}}^{2} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON} 1}\left(1+\delta \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}\right)(1-\mathrm{D})+ \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta$ is the temperature coefficient of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON}}$ in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{-1}$, and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}}$ is the dynamic loss term, which is independent of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON}}$.

When you substitute these variables into Equation 2 and solve for the devices' $\Delta_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{s}$, you find that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\Theta}\left[\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{RMS}}^{2} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON1}} \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}\right)\right]}{1-\mathrm{R}_{\Theta} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{RMS}}^{2} \delta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON} 1} \mathrm{D}}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{2}\right)= \\
& \frac{\mathrm{R}_{\Theta}\left[\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{RMS}}^{2} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON} 1}(1-\mathrm{D})+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{2}\right)\right]}{1-\mathrm{R}_{\Theta} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{RMS}}^{2} \delta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON} 1}(1-\mathrm{D})} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation 4 provides the junctiontemperature rise as a function of the load current and a specific set of MOSFET parameters. A junction temperature of about $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is usually a good first cut for commercial applications.

## CALCULATING THE DYNAMIC LOSSES

The rise and fall times depend on characteristics of both the MOSFET and your gate-drive circuit. The gate charge, $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{G}}$, is the product of the gate capacitance and the drive voltage, usually given at 4.5 V . The gate capacitance combines with the gate drive's output impedance to limit the gate rise and fall times:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{G}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\frac{-\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{G}}}}\right), \\
& \Delta \mathrm{t}=-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{G}} \ln \left(1-\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{G}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{P}}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}=-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{P}} \frac{\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{G}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{P}}} \ln \left(1-\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{G}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{P}}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In these equations, $V_{G}$ is the equivalent gate voltage, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is the gate-drive pulse amplitude, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is the gate-drive output impedance, and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{G}}$ is the gate capacitance.

The MOSFET is fully on when $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{G}}$ reaches $99 \%$ of $V_{p}$. If you substitute this relationship back into Equation 5, the rise and fall times reduce to:

$$
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}} \approx 4.6 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{P}} \frac{\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{G}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{P}}}
$$

You can now calculate the total dynamic loss for each device:

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left[\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{G} 1} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{G} 1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{RMS}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{S}}\right],
$$

and
$P_{D}\left(Q_{2}\right)=f\left[Q_{G 2} V_{G 2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{r}+t_{f}\right) I_{R M S} V_{D}\right]$,
where $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{G}}$ is the gate drive for the referenced device.

## COMPLETING THE DESIGN

Determine the thermal resistance of the heat sink from the manufacturer's
data sheet or from the dimensions of the pc-board trace. Figure 3 shows the pc-board-trace thermal resistance of a sin-gle-layer pc board with 2-oz copper, versus a square copper area with the MOSFET located in its center. Depending on the available pc-board area, the copper can act as a heat sink for the MOSFET. It is worth noting that for small devices, increasing the area beyond the 2 square inches will not appreciably lower the thermal resistance.

In a spreadsheet, compile a database of MOSFETs suitable for your application. For the synchronous rectifier, $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$, the MOSFET must meet the voltage and current requirements of the application. It must also have a sufficiently low $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON}}$, so that the conduction loss is small enough to meet the efficiency target. For this MOSFET, the gate charge plays a secondary role in power dissipation.

For the control device, $Q_{1}$, the dynamic or switching losses are the predominant factor, and conduction losses play a secondary role. The MOSFET should meet the voltage and current specification with as low a gate charge as possible to keep the dynamic losses small. Secondarily, seek a device with a moderate $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ON}}$.

Solve equations 3 and 4 for the temperature rise for all the MOSFETs in the database. Then you can select the MOSFET that meets your temperature-rise, package, and price requirements. A spreadsheet sheet that solves equations 3 and 4 for a selection of MOSFETs allows the simultaneous evaluation of several MOSFETs. By using a spreadsheet this way, you can perform a "whatif" analysis to select the optimum MOSFETs for the application. You can adapt this basic method to other topologies so long as you've gained an understanding of the conduction and switching-loss requirements for each device in your design. $\square$
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