

FEBRUARY 2002 SENSOR TECHNOLOGY AND DESIGN

# MicroStrain .

TOC

# Demystifying Analog Filter Design

Armed with a little help—and a little math—you can hack your way fearlessly through the wild world of analog filter design and gain the confidence that comes with doing it yourself.

Simon Bramble, Maxim Integrated Products

t's a jungle out there. A small tribe, hidden from view in the dense wilderness, is much sought after by headhunters from the surrounding plains. The tribe knows it's threatened, because its numbersækilled off by the accelerating advance of modern technologyæare dwindling at an alarming rate. This is the tribe of the Analog Engineers. The guru of Analog Engineers is the Analog Filter Designer, who sits on the throne of the besieged kingdom and imparts wisdom while reminiscing of better days.

But you're desperate. Your boss told you to design a data acquisition system, and that means you need an analog filter—fast. You try a book on filter design. Alas! The countless pages of equations found in such books can frighten small dogs and children, let alone engineers. What to do?

Fear not! This article unravels the mystery of filter design so that you can design continuous-time analog filters quickly and with a minimum of mathematics. The throne will soon be vacant.

# The Theory of Analog Electronics

Analog electronics has two distinct sides: theory (e.g., using the equations of stability and phase-shift calculations), taught by academic institutions, and practical considerations (e.g., avoiding oscillation by tweaking the gain with a capacitor), familiar to most engineers. Unfortunately, filter design is based firmly on long-established equations and tables of theoretical results. The theoretical equations can prove arduous, so this article uses a minimum of mathæeither in translating the theoretical tables into practical component values or in deriving the response of a general-purpose filter.

Simple RC low-pass filters have the following transfer function:



March 10, 2002

Industry News Updated Twice Weekly

 Xicor's New Architecture Addresses Growing Market OmniVision Opens European Office Building Automation Systems Buy Into Internet, IT Intelligent Instrumentation, Entivity Partner





Order Now!

$$TF = \frac{1}{1 + sCR} \tag{1}$$

Cascading such filters complicates the response by giving rise to quadratic equations in the denominator of the transfer function. Thus, the denominator of the transfer function for any second-order low-pass filter is  $as^2 + bs + c$ . Substituting values for *a*, *b*, and *c* determines the filter response over frequency. Anyone who remembers high school math will note that the preceding expression equals zero for certain values of *s* given by the equation:

$$s = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a} \tag{2}$$

At the values of *s* for which this quadratic equation equals zero, the transfer function theoretically has infinite gain. These values, which establish the performance of each type of filter over frequency, are known as the *poles* of the quadratic equation. Poles usually occur as pairs, in the form of a complex number (a + jb) and its complex conjugate (a - jb). The term *jb* is sometimes zero.

The thought of a transfer function with infinite gain may frighten you, but in practice, it isn't a problem. The pole's real part, *a*, indicates how the filter responds to transients; its imaginary part, *jb*, indicates the response over frequency. As long as this imaginary part is negative (as it must be), the system is stable. The following discussion explains how to transfer the tables of poles found in many textbooks into component values suitable for circuit design.

#### **Filter Types**

The most common filter responses are the Butterworth, Chebyshev, and Bessel types. Many other types are available, but 90% of all applications can be solved with one of these three.

A *Butterworth filter* ensures a flat response in the pass band and an adequate rate of rolloff. A good all-round filter, the Butterworth is simple to understand and suitable for such applications as audio processing.

A *Chebyshev filter* gives a much steeper rolloff, but pass-band ripple makes it unsuitable for audio systems. It's superior for applications in which the pass band includes only one frequency of interest (e.g., the derivation of a sine wave from a square wave by filtering out the harmonics).

A *Bessel filter* gives a constant propagation delay across the input frequency spectrum. Therefore, applying a square wave (consisting of a fundamental and many harmonics) to the input of a Bessel filter

yields an output square wave with no overshoot (i.e., all of the

frequencies are delayed by the same amount). Other filters delay the harmonics by different amounts; the result is an overshoot on the output waveform.

One other popular type, the *elliptical filter*, is a much more complicated beast, and it will not be discussed in this article. Similar to the Chebyshev response, it has ripple in the pass band and severe rolloff at the expense of ripple in the stop band.

# **Standard Filter Blocks**

000

The generic filter structure (see Figure 1A) lets you realize a highpass or low-pass implementation by substituting capacitors or resistors in place of components G1-G4. Considering the effect of these components on the op-amp feedback network, you can easily



Figure 1. By substituting for G1-G4 in the generic filter block (A), you can implement a low-pass filter (B) or a high-pass filter (C).

derive a low-pass filter by making G2/G4 into capacitors and G1/G3 into resistors (see Figure 1B). The opposite yields a high-pass implementation (see Figure 1C).

The transfer function for the low-pass filter (see Figure 1B) is:

$$\frac{GIG3}{s^2(C2C4) + sC4(G1 + G3) + GIG3}$$
(3)

This equation is simpler with conductances. Replace the capacitors with a conductance of *sC* and the resistors with a conductance of *G*. If this looks complicated, you can normalize the equation. Set the resistors to  $1 \Omega$  or the capacitors to 1 F, and change the surrounding components to fit the response. Thus, with all resistor values equal to  $1 \Omega$ , the low-pass transfer function is:

$$TF = \frac{1}{s^2(C2C4) + s(2C4) + 1} \tag{4}$$

This transfer function describes the response of a generic, secondorder low-pass filter. You take the theoretical tables of poles (see <u>Tables 1–7</u>) that describe the three main filter responses and translate them into real component values.

# The Design Process

To determine the filter type most appropriate for your application, use the preceding descriptions to select the pass-band performance needed. The simplest way to determine filter order is to design a second-order filter stage and then cascade multiple versions of it as required. Check to see if the result gives the desired stop-band rejection. Next, proceed with correct pole locations as shown in the tables at the end of the article. Once pole locations are established, the component values can soon be calculated.

First, transform each pole location into a quadratic expression similar to that in the denominator of our generic second-order filter. If a quadratic equation has poles of  $(a \pm jb)$ , then it has roots of (s - a - jb) and (s - a + jb). When these roots are multiplied together, the resulting quadratic expression is  $s^2 - 2as + a^2 + b^2$ .

In the pole tables, *a* is always negative, so for convenience you declare  $s^2 + 2as + a^2 + b^2$  and use the magnitude of *a* regardless of its sign. To put this into practice, consider a fourth-order Butterworth filter. The poles and the quadratic expression corresponding to each pole location are as follows:

<b>Poles</b> $(a \pm jb)$	<b>Quadratic Expression</b>
$-0.9239 \pm j0.3827$	$s^2 + 1.8478s + 1$
$-0.3827 \pm j0.9239$	$s^2 + 0.7654s + 1$

You can design a fourth-order Butterworth low-pass filter with this information. Simply substitute values from the preceding quadratic expressions into the denominator of Equation 4. Thus, C2C4 = 1 and 2C4 = 1.8478 in the first filter, which implies that C4 = 0.9239F and C2 = 1.08F. For the second filter, C2C4 = 1 and 2C4 = 0.7654, implying that C4 = 0.3827F and C2 = 2.61F. All resistors in both filters equal 1  $\Omega$ . Cascading the two second-order filters yields a fourth-order Butterworth response with rolloff frequency of 1 rad/s, but the component values are impossible to find. If the frequency or component values just given are not suitable, read on.

It so happens that if you maintain the ratio of the reactances to the resistors, the circuit response remains unchanged. You might therefore choose 1 k $\Omega$  resistors. To ensure that the reactances increase in the same proportion as the resistances, divide the capacitor values by 1000.

You still have the perfect Butterworth response, but unfortunately the rolloff frequency is 1 rad/s. To change the circuit's frequency response, you must maintain the ratio of reactances to resistances—but simply at a different frequency.

For a rolloff of 1 kHz rather than 1 rad/s, the capacitor value must be further reduced by a factor of  $2\pi \times 1000$ . Thus, the capacitor's reactance does not reach the original (normalized) value until the higher frequency. The resulting fourth-order Butterworth low-pass filter with 1 kHz rolloff takes the form of Figure 2.



Using this technique, you can obtain any even-order filter response by cascading second-order filters. Note, however, that a fourth-order Butterworth filter is not obtained simply by calculating the components for a second-order filter and then cascading two such stages. Instead, two second-order filters must be designed, each with different pole locations. If the filter has an odd order, you can simply cascade second-order filters and add an RC network to gain the extra pole. For example, a fifth-order Chebyshev filter with 1 dB ripple has the following poles:

Poles	<b>Quadratic Expression</b>
$-0.2265 \pm j0.5918$	$s^2 + 0.453s + 0.402 \equiv$
	$2.488s^2 + 1.127s + 1$
$-0.08652 \pm j0.9575$	$s^2 + 0.173s + 0.924 \equiv$
	$1.08s^2 + 0.187s + 1$
-0.2800	See text

To ensure conformance with the generic filter described by Equation 4 and to ensure that the last term equals unity, the first two quadratics have been multiplied by a constant. Thus, in the first filter, C2C4 = 2.488 and 2C4 = 1.127, which implies that C4 = 0.5635F and C2 = 4.41F. For the second filter, C2C4 = 1.08 and 2C4 = 0.187, which implies that C4 = 0.0935F and C2 = 11.55F. Earlier, you saw that an RC circuit has a pole when 1 + sCR = 0: s = -1/CR. If R = 1, then to obtain the final pole at s = -0.28, you must set C = 3.57F.

Using 1 k $\Omega$  resistors, you can normalize for a 1 kHz rolloff frequency (see Figure 3).



Figure 3. A fifth-order, 1 dB ripple Chebyshev low-pass filter is constructed from two nonidentical second-order sections and an output RC network.

Thus, designers can boldly go and design low-pass filters of any order at any frequency.

All of this theory also applies to the design of high-pass filters. You've seen that a simple RC low-pass filter has the transfer function of:

$$TF = \frac{1}{1 + sCR} \tag{5}$$

Similarly, a simple RC high-pass filter has the transfer function of:

$$TF = \frac{R}{R + \frac{1}{sC}} \tag{6}$$

Normalizing these functions to correspond with the normalized pole tables give:

$$TF = \frac{1}{1+s} \tag{7}$$

for low-pass and

$$TF = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{s}} \tag{8}$$

for high-pass.

Note that the high-pass pole positions, *s*, can be obtained by inverting the low-pass pole positions. Inserting those values into the high-pass filter block ensures the correct frequency response. To obtain the transfer function for the high-pass filter block, you need to go back to the transfer function of the low-pass filter block. Thus, from:

TF =

$$\frac{G1G3}{^{2}(C2C4) + sC4(G1 + G3) + G1G3}$$
(9)

you obtain the transfer function of the equivalent high-pass filter

block by interchanging capacitors and resistors:

$$\frac{s^2 C1C3}{s^2 (C1C3) + sG4(C1 + C3) + G2G4}$$
(10)

Again, life is much simpler if capacitors are normalized instead of resistors:

$$TF = \frac{s^2}{s^2 + s(2G4) + G2G4} \rightarrow TF = \frac{\frac{s^2}{G2G4}}{\frac{s^2}{G2G4} + s\left(\frac{2}{G2}\right) + 1}$$
(11)  
$$G2 = \frac{1}{R^2} \text{ and } G4 = \frac{1}{R^4}$$
$$TF = \frac{s^2 R2R4}{s^2 (R2R4) + s(2R2) + 1}$$
(12)

Equation 12 is the transfer function of the high-pass filter block. This time, you calculate resistor values instead of capacitor values. Given the general high-pass filter response, you can derive the high-pass pole positions by inverting the low-pass pole positions and continuing as before. But inverting a complex-pole location is easier said than done. For example, consider the fifth-order, 1 dB-ripple Chebyshev filter discussed earlier. It has two pole positions at ( $-0.2265 \pm j0.5918$ ).

The easiest way to invert a complex number is to multiply and divide by the complex conjugate, thereby obtaining a real number in the numerator. You then find the reciprocal by inverting the fraction.

Thus:

are

$$(-0.2265 \pm j0.5918) \times \frac{(-0.2265 \pm j0.5918)}{(-0.2265 \mp j0.5918)}$$
(13)

gives:

$$\frac{0.4015}{-0.2265 \mp j 0.5918} \tag{14}$$

and inverting gives:

 $(-0.564 \mp j1.474)$ 

(15)

You can convert the newly derived pole positions to the corresponding quadratic expression and values calculated as before. The result is:

Poles  $(a \pm jb)$ Quadratic Expression $-0.564 \pm j1.474$  $s^2 + 1.128s + 2.490 \equiv$  $0.401s^2 + 0.453s + 1$ 

From Equation 12, you can calculate the first filter component values as R2R4 = 0.401 and 2R2 = 0.453, which implies that  $R2 = 0.227 \Omega$  and  $R4 = 1.77 \Omega$ . You can repeat the procedure for the other pole locations.

Because we've shown that  $s = {}^{-1}/{}_{CR}$ , a simpler approach is to design for a low-pass filter by using suitable low-pass poles and then treat every pole in the filter as a single RC circuit. To invert each low-pass pole to obtain the corresponding high-pass pole, simply invert the value of *CR*. Once you've obtained the high-pass pole locations, you ensure the correct frequency response by interposing the capacitors and resistors.

You calculated a normalized capacitor value for the low-pass implementation, assuming that  $R = 1 \Omega$ . Hence, the value of *CR* equals the value of *C*, and the reciprocal of the value of *C* is the high-pass pole. Treating this pole as the new value of *R* yields the appropriate high-pass component value.

Considering again the fifth-order, 1 dB ripple Chebyshev low-pass filter, the calculated capacitor values are C4 = 0.5635F and C2 = 4.41F. To obtain the equivalent high-pass resistor values, invert the values of *C* (to obtain high-pass pole locations) and treat these poles as the new normalized resistor values: R4 = 1.77 and R2 = 0.227. This approach provides the same results as does the more formal method mentioned earlier.

Thus, the circuit in Figure 3 can be converted to a high-pass filter with 1 kHz rolloff by inverting the normalized capacitor values, interposing the resistors and capacitors, and scaling the values accordingly. Earlier, we divided by  $2\pi fR$  to normalize the low-pass values. The scaling factor in this case is  $2\pi fC$ , where *C* is the capacitor value and *f* is the frequency in hertz. The resulting circuit is shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Transposing resistors and capacitors in the circuit in Figure 3 yields a fifth-order, 1 dB ripple, Chebyshev high-pass filter.

# Conclusion

By using the methods described here, you can design low-pass and high-pass filters with response at any frequency. Band-pass and band-stop filters can also be implemented (with single op amps) by using techniques similar to those shown, but those applications are beyond the scope of this article. You can, however, implement bandpass and band-stop filters by cascading low-pass and high-pass filters.

This article promises to be your guide through the wilderness, your defense against the headhunters, and your key to the analog kingdom.

TABLE 1	ABLE 1 TABLE 2				
Butter	worth Pole	e Locations	<b>Bessel Pole Locations</b>		
Order	Real <i>-a</i>	Imaginary +/-jb	Order	Real <i>-a</i>	Imaginary +/-jb
2	0.7071	0.7071	2	1.1030	0.6368
3	0.5000 1.0000	0.8660	3	1.0509 1.3270	1.0025
4	0.9239 0.3827	0.3827 0.9239	4	1.3596 0.9877	0.4071 1.2476
5	0.8090 0.3090 1.0000	0.5878 0.9511	5	1.3851 0.9606 1.5069	0.7201 1.4756
6	0.9659 0.7071 0.2588	0.2588 0.7071 0.9659	6	1.5735 1.3836 0.9318	0.3213 0.9727 1.6640
7	0.9010 0.6235 0.2225 1.0000	0.4339 0.7818 0.9749	7	1.6130 1.3797 0.9104 1.6853	0.5896 1.1923 1.8375
8	0.9808 0.8315 0.5556 0.1951	0.1951 0.5556 0.8315 0.9808	8	1.7627 0.8955 1.3780 1.6419	0.2737 2.0044 1.3926 0.8253
9	0.9397 0.7660 0.5000 0.1737 1.0000	0.3420 0.6428 0.8660 0.9848	9	1.8081 1.6532 1.3683 0.8788 1.8575	0.5126 1.0319 1.5685 2.1509
10	0.9877 0.8910	0.1564 0.4540			

0.7071	0.7071
0.4540	0.8910
0.1564	0.9877

Т	Α	В	L	Е	3
		_	_		~

### TABLE 4

0.01 dB Chebyshev Pole Locations		0.1 dB Chebyshev Pole Locations			
Order	Real -a	Imaginary +/-jb	Order	Real <i>-a</i>	Imaginary +/-jb
2	0.6743	0.7075	2	0.6104	0.7106
3	0.4233 0.8467	0.8663	3	0.3490 0.6979	0.8684
4	0.6762 0.2801	0.3828 0.9241	4	0.2177 0.5257	0.9254 0.3833
5	0.5120 0.1956 0.6328	0.5879 0.9512	5	0.3842 0.1468 0.4749	0.5884 0.9521
6	0.5335 0.3906 0.1430	0.2588 0.7072 0.9660	6	0.3916 0.2867 0.1049	0.2590 0.7077 0.9667
7	0.4393 0.3040 0.1085 0.4876	0.4339 0.7819 0.9750	7	0.3178 0.2200 0.0785 0.3528	0.4341 0.7823 0.9755
8	0.4268 0.3618 0.2418 0.0849	0.1951 0.5556 0.8315 0.9808	8	0.3058 0.2592 0.1732 0.0608	0.1952 0.5558 0.8319 0.9812
9	0.3686 0.3005 0.1961 0.0681 0.3923	0.3420 0.6428 0.8661 0.9848	9	0.2622 0.2137 0.1395 0.0485 0.2790	0.3421 0.6430 0.8663 0.9852

### TABLE 5

# TABLE 6

0.25 dB Chebyshev Pole Locations			0.5 dB Chebyshev Pole Locations		
Order	Real <i>-a</i>	Imaginary +/-jb	Order	Real <i>-a</i>	Imaginary +/-jb
2	0.5621	0.7154	2	0.5129	0.7225
3	0.3062 0.6124	0.8712	3	0.2683 0.5366	0.8753
4	0.4501 0.1865	0.3840 0.9272	4	0.3872 0.1605	0.3850 0.9297
5	0.3247 0.1240 0.4013	0.5892 0.9533	5	0.2767 0.1057 0.3420	0.5902 0.9550
6	0.3284 0.2404 0.0880	0.2593 0.7083 0.9675	6	0.2784 0.2037 0.0746	0.2596 0.7091 0.9687